

# Strong type spaces as quotients of Polish groups

(joint with Krzysztof Krupiński)

Tomasz Rzepecki

Hebrew University of Jerusalem

October 2018

- ▶ (We have a blanket assumption that the theory we are working in is countable.)
- ▶ The goal: understanding strong type spaces.
- ▶ The idea: the Galois groups, strong type spaces, quotients of type-definable groups all behave like quotients of compact Polish groups.
- ▶ We have shown that, in a very strong sense (especially under NIP hypotheses), they *are* quotients of compact Polish groups.
- ▶ This observation (and the related theory) can be used to recover essentially all known theorems about cardinality and the so-called Borel cardinality of strong type spaces and quotients of type-definable groups.

# Strong types, connected components

## Definition

Let  $X \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$  be a type-definable set. An equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$  is *invariant* if it is  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ -invariant, and it is *bounded* if it has a small number of classes.

## Definition

A *strong type* is a bounded invariant equivalence relation which refines  $\equiv$ .

## Definition

A *strong type space* is the quotient  $X/E$ , where  $E$  is a strong type on  $X$ .

## Definition

Given a  $(\emptyset)$ -type-definable group  $G$ , the connected component  $G_{\emptyset}^{00}$  is the smallest  $(\emptyset)$ -type-definable subgroup of  $G$  of small index.

# Logic topology

## Definition

Given a  $(\emptyset)$ -type-definable set  $X$  and a bounded invariant equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$ , a set  $A \subseteq X/E$  is closed in the *logic topology* if its preimage in  $X$  is type-definable.

## Fact

*The logic topology is compact (because  $X$  is type-definable),  
it is Hausdorff  $\iff E$  is type-definable.*

- ▶ These quotients also have a well-defined “Borel cardinality”.
- ▶ In particular, we have the logic topology on  $G/G_{\emptyset}^{00}$  (because the coset equivalence relation is bounded and invariant).

## Toy examples

- ▶ Consider a type-definable group  $G$  and its connected component  $G_{\emptyset}^{00}$ .
- ▶ Then  $G/G_{\emptyset}^{00}$  is a compact Polish group (with the logic topology).
- ▶ For any  $H \leq G$ ,  $G_{\emptyset}^{00} \leq H$ , the quotient  $G/H$  and  $(G/G_{\emptyset}^{00})/(H/G_{\emptyset}^{00})$  are essentially the same (topologically, descriptive-set-theoretically).
- ▶ Likewise,  $\text{Gal}_{\text{KP}}(T)$  is a compact Polish group.
- ▶ For any complete  $\emptyset$ -type  $p$  and strong type  $E$  coarser than  $\equiv_{\text{KP}}$  on  $X = p(\mathfrak{C})$ , then  $\text{Gal}_{\text{KP}}(T)$  acts transitively on  $X/E$ .
- ▶ For any  $a \models p$ ,  $X/E$  and  $\text{Gal}_{\text{KP}}(T)/\text{Stab}_{\text{Gal}_{\text{KP}}(T)}([a]_E)$  are essentially the same.
- ▶ But this only works when  $H$  contains  $G_{\emptyset}^{00}$ , or when  $E$  is coarser than  $\equiv_{\text{KP}}$  (because  $\text{Gal}(T)$  is not Hausdorff, so not Polish)...

## Towards an application: a trichotomy in Polish groups

### Fact (Useful Fact)

*Suppose  $G$  is a compact Polish group, while  $H \leq G$  is analytic.  
Then exactly one of the following holds:*

- ▶  *$H$  is open and  $[G : H]$  is finite,*
- ▶  *$H$  is closed and  $[G : H] = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,*
- ▶  *$H$  is not closed,  $[G : H] = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $G/H$  is not smooth  
(in the sense of Borel cardinality).*

*In particular,  $G/H$  is smooth if and only if  $H$  is closed,  
and  $[G : H]$  is finite (and  $H$  is open) or  $[G : H] = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

- ▶ We want to show an analogous fact for strong type spaces/quotients of type-definable group.

# An easier trichotomy

## Proposition

Let  $p \in S(\emptyset)$  and let  $E$  be an invariant equivalence relation on  $X = p(\mathfrak{C})$ , *coarser than  $\equiv_{\text{kp}}$* , analytic. Then we have exactly one of the following:

- ▶  $E$  is relatively definable and  $X/E$  is finite,
- ▶  $E$  is type-definable and  $|X/E| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,
- ▶  $E$  is not type-definable and  $|X/E| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $X/E$  is not smooth.

## Idea.

We pull  $X/E$  up to  $\text{Gal}_{\text{kp}}(T)$ , apply the Useful Fact, and then push its conclusion back down. □

- ▶ We have an analogous conclusion for quotients of type-definable groups by (invariant) analytic subgroups *containing*  $G_{\emptyset}^{00}$ .
- ▶ But this approach is completely useless for arbitrary bounded invariant equivalence relations on  $p(\mathfrak{C})$ , quotients by arbitrary bounded invariant subgroups.

## Theorem

Let  $X = p(\mathfrak{C})$  for some  $p \in S(\emptyset)$ . Then there is a compact Polish group  $\hat{G}$  such that for every strong type  $E$  on  $X$ , there is a  $\hat{H} \leq \hat{G}$  such that:

- ▶  $\hat{H}$  is closed iff  $E$  is type-definable,
- ▶  $\hat{H}$  is open iff  $E$  is relatively definable (in  $X^2$ ),
- ▶  $\hat{H}$  is analytic if  $E$  is analytic (in particular, it has the Baire property),
- ▶  $\hat{G}/\hat{H} \leq_B X/E$  and  $\hat{G}/\hat{H} \sim_B X/E$  if  $p$  has NIP.

## Theorem

Given a type-definable  $G$ , there is a compact Polish  $\hat{G}$  such that for every  $H \leq G$  of bounded index, there is a  $\hat{H} \leq \hat{G}$  (... analogous conclusion).

# An easier trichotomy

## Corollary

Let  $p \in S(\emptyset)$  and let  $E$  be an invariant equivalence relation on  $X = p(\mathfrak{C})$ , ~~coarser than  $\equiv_{\mathbb{K}^p}$~~  bounded, analytic.

Then we have exactly one of the following:

- ▶  $E$  is relatively definable and  $X/E$  is finite,
- ▶  $E$  is type-definable and  $|X/E| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,
- ▶  $E$  is not type-definable and  $|X/E| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $X/E$  is not smooth.

## Idea.

We pull  $X/E$  up to  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{K}^p}(T) \hat{G}$ , apply the Useful Fact, and then push its conclusion back down. □

# A trichotomy in type-definable groups

## Corollary

*Let  $G$  be a type-definable group and let  $H \leq G$  be invariant of small index, analytic. Then we have exactly one of the following:*

- ▶  *$H$  is relatively definable and  $[G : H]$  is finite,*
- ▶  *$H$  is type-definable and  $[G : H] = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,*
- ▶  *$H$  is not type-definable and  $[G : H] = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $G/H$  is not smooth.*

## Idea.

We pull  $G/H$  up to  $\hat{G}$ , apply the Useful Fact, and push its conclusion back down. □

- ▶ This implies that for an analytic  $H$ ,  $[G : H]$  is finite,  $2^{\aleph_0}$  or unbounded.
- ▶ This is *not* true if  $H$  is arbitrary (there are “Vitali”-like counterexamples).

# Rosenthal compacta

## Fact (Rosenthal, Bourgain, Fremlin and Talagrand)

Let  $X$  be a compact Polish space, and let  $A \subseteq C(X)$  be bounded in the sup norm. The following are equivalent:

- ▶  $\overline{A}$  (the pointwise closure in  $X^X$ ) consists of Borel functions.
- ▶  $\overline{A}$  has the Fréchet-Urysohn property (for any  $B \subseteq \overline{A}$ ,  $\overline{B}$  = the limits of sequences in  $B$ ).
- ▶  $A$  contains no “independent sequence” ( $\Leftrightarrow$  NIP).
- ▶  $A$  contains no “ $\ell^1$ -sequence”.

## Definition

Given such  $A$ , we say that  $\overline{A}$  with the pointwise convergence topology (or any space homeomorphic to it) is a *Rosenthal compact*.

# The Ellis semigroup, the Ellis group and its canonical Hausdorff quotient

## Definition

Given a group  $G$  of homeomorphisms of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the Ellis semigroup  $EL = E(G, X)$  is the pointwise closure of  $G$  in  $X^X$  (with composition as the semigroup operation).

- ▶ The Ellis semigroup is a compact left topological semigroup.

## Definition

We say that the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is *tame* if  $E(G, X)$  is Rosenthal.

- ▶ Such  $EL$  always contains so-called ‘Ellis groups’  $u\mathcal{M}$ , which come equipped with a compact semitopological group structure (not Hausdorff).
- ▶  $u\mathcal{M}$  has a canonical (compact) Hausdorff group quotient  $u\mathcal{M}/H(u\mathcal{M})$ .

## Construction of $\hat{G}$

- ▶ Recall that we want to express  $X/E$  as  $\hat{G}/\hat{H}$ , where  $X = p(\mathfrak{C})$  for  $p \in S(\emptyset)$ .
- ▶ We choose a countable *ambitious* (i.e. homogeneous in a weak sense) model  $M$  which realises  $p$ .
- ▶ We the action of  $\text{Aut}(M)$  on  $S_m(M)$ .
- ▶ (Assume NIP for simplicity.)
- ▶ Because of NIP, this action is tame, i.e. the Ellis group  $EL = E(\text{Aut}(M), S_m(M))$  is Rosenthal.
- ▶ This implies that  $\hat{G} = u\mathcal{M}/H(u\mathcal{M})$  is a compact Polish group (as a countably tight compact Hausdorff group).
- ▶ (Without NIP we have to work a bit more to obtain  $\hat{G}$ .)

## Some more ideas from the proof

We show that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} EL & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \hat{G} = u\mathcal{M}/H(u\mathcal{M}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ S_m(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & X_M & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & X/E \end{array}$$

- ▶  $X_M = \{\text{tp}(a/M) \mid a \models p\} = \{\text{tp}(a/M) \mid a \in X\}$
- ▶ The map  $EL \rightarrow S_m(M)$  is just evaluation at  $\text{tp}(m/M)$ .
- ▶ The map  $EL \rightarrow \hat{G}$  is a certain natural epimorphism (given by  $f \mapsto ufuH(u\mathcal{M})$ , *not* continuous!).
- ▶ The map  $\hat{G} \rightarrow X/E$  factors through an orbit map  $\text{Gal}(T) \rightarrow X/E$  via an epimorphism  $\hat{G} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(T)$ .
- ▶ It follows that  $\hat{G}$  acts on  $X/E$ , and  $\hat{H}$  is just the stabiliser of  $[a]_E$  for some  $a \in X(M)$ .
- ▶ Then we work (a lot) more to show that this  $\hat{G}$  and  $\hat{H}$  have all the required properties.

## Concluding remarks

- ▶ There is a weaker variant of the trichotomy which applies in the case when the domain is not  $p(\mathfrak{C})$  (i.e. we have smoothness  $\iff$  type-definability).
- ▶ We can also consider a  $Y \subsetneq p(\mathfrak{C})$  type-definable with parameters, and the theorem essentially applies in this case (under reasonable assumptions).
- ▶ The group  $\hat{G}$  can be chosen in a sort-of natural way (independently of  $p$ ), but there seems to be no “canonical” choice (we need to choose an appropriate countable model  $M$ ).
- ▶ I have given a general (abstract) framework in which these sorts of results can be proved.