

DEFINITION 0.1. Let A be a unital finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k and $M_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ be representatives of non-isomorphic simple A -modules.

(1) We define the *radical* of A by

$$\text{Rad}(A) := \{a \in A \mid \pi(a) = 0\}$$

for all irreducible representations π of A .

(2) A finite-dimensional algebra A is *semisimple* iff $\text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$.

CLAIM 0.2. (1) $\text{Rad}(A) \subset A$ is a two-sided nilpotent ideal.

(2) If the algebra A is semisimple then the maps $A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(M_i), 1 \leq i \leq r$ induce an isomorphism $A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}_k(M_i)$.

LEMMA 0.3. Let A be a ring, $I \subset A$ a two-sided nilpotent ideal, $\bar{A} := A/I$ and $\bar{e} \in \bar{A}$ an idempotent. [That is $\bar{e}^2 = \bar{e}$]. Then

(1) There exists a lift of \bar{e} to an idempotent $e \in A$

(2) Any two such lifts are conjugate by an element in I .

PROOF. By induction it is easy to reduce the proof to the case when $I^2 = \{0\}$. So we assume that $I^2 = \{0\}$. In this case I has a structure of a two-sided A/I -module. Let $\tilde{e} \in A$ be any lift of \bar{e} and $a := \tilde{e}^2 - \tilde{e} \in I$. Any lift e of \bar{e} has the form $e = \tilde{e} + b, b \in I$ and the condition $e^2 = e$ is equivalent to the condition $\tilde{e}b + b\tilde{e} - b = a$. For the proof of the first claim it is sufficient to note that $b := (2\tilde{e} - 1)a$ satisfies this condition. Let e' be another lift of \bar{e} such that $e'^2 = e'$. Then $e' = e + c$ where $c \in I$ is such that $ec + ce = c$. Since $e^2 = e$ this equation implies that $ece = 0$ and that $(1 - e)c(1 - e) = 0$. So $c = ec(1 - e) + (1 - e)ce = [e, [e, c]]$. Hence [since $I^2 = \{0\}$] we have $e' = (1 + [c, e])e(1 + [c, e])^{-1}$. \square

DEFINITION 0.4. A complete system of orthogonal idempotents in a unital algebra B is a collection of elements $e_1, \dots, e_n \in B$ such that

$$e_i e_j = e_i \delta_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

COROLLARY 0.5. Given a complete system of orthogonal idempotents $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n \in A/I$ there exists lift $e_1, \dots, e_n \in A$ of $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ to a complete system of orthogonal idempotents in A .

PROOF. The proof is by induction in m . If $m = 2$ then this Corollary is a restatement of the previous Lemma. For $m > 2$ we choose a lift e_1 of \bar{e}_1 and apply the inductive assumption to the algebra $(1 - e_1)A(1 - e_1)$. \square

THEOREM 0.6. (1) For any $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ there exists unique indecomposable finitely generated projective A -module P_i such that

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_A(P_i, M_j)) = \delta_{i,j}$$

(2) $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r d_i P_i$ where $d_i := \dim_k(M_i)$.

- (3) Any indecomposable finitely generated projective A -module is isomorphic to P_i for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$.

PROOF. For any $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ choose a basis $\{m_t^i\}, 1 \leq t \leq d_i$ of M_i and denote by $\bar{e}_t^i \in \text{End}(M_i)$ the projection on the line km_t^i along the hyperplane generated by vectors $m_s^i, s \neq t$. As we know $\text{Rad}(A) \subset A$ is a two-sided nilpotent ideal and $A/\text{Rad}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}_k(M_i)$ and it is clear that $\{\bar{e}_t^i\}$ is a complete system of orthogonal idempotents in $A/\text{Rad}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}_k(M_i)$. Let $\{e_t^i\} \in A$ be a lift of $\{\bar{e}_t^i\}$ to a complete system of orthogonal idempotents in A . We define $P_{i,t} := Ae_t^i \subset A$ for $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq t \leq d_i$. Then $A = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq t \leq d_i} P_{i,t}$ and we see that the A -modules $P_{i,t}$ are projective.

By the construction $\text{Hom}_A(P_{i,t}, M_j) = e_t^i M_j$. So we see that $\dim(\text{Hom}_A(P_{i,t}, M_j)) = \delta_{i,j}$. Since for a fixed i the elements $\bar{e}_t^i \in \text{End}(M_i)$ are conjugated by an element of $\text{End}^*(M_i)$ it follows from Lemma 1 that the elements $e_t^i \in A, 1 \leq t \leq d_i$ are conjugated by an element of A^* and therefore the A -modules $P_{i,t}, 1 \leq t \leq d_i$ are isomorphic. We will write P_i instead of $P_{i,t}, 1 \leq t \leq d_i$.

I'll leave for you to check that the modules P_i are indecomposable and that any indecomposable finitely generated projective A -module is isomorphic to P_i for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. \square

DEFINITION 0.7. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian k -category

- (1) We say that \mathcal{C} is *finite* if
 - (a) It has a finite number of equivalence classes of simple objects $M_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_i) = k$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$.
 - (b) Every object of \mathcal{C} has finite length and
 - (c) For any simple object $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a projective object $P \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, M) \neq \{0\}$.
- (2) We say that a projective object $P \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a *progenerator* if any object of \mathcal{C} is a quotient of some finite multiple of P .

PROBLEM 0.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a finite abelian k -category. Then

- (1) A projective object $P \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a progenerator if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, M) \neq \{0\}$ for any simple object $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- (2) There exists a progenerator $P \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$

DEFINITION 0.9. Let \mathcal{C} be a finite abelian k -category, $P \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ be a progenerator. We denote

- (1) by A_P the ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(P)^{op}$
- (2) by $A_P - fmodules$ the category of finitely generated A_P -modules
- (3) by F_P the functor from \mathcal{C} to the category $A_P - fmodules$ given by

$$F_P(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, M)$$

THEOREM 0.10. The functor $F_P(M)$ defines an equivalence between \mathcal{C} and the category $A_P - fmodules$.

PROOF. The action of A_P on P defines a map $\alpha_P \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P \otimes_k A_P, P)$. For any finitely generated A_P -module X we define $\alpha_X \in \text{Hom}_{A_P}(A_P \otimes_k X, X)$ as the action map from $(a \otimes x \rightarrow ax, a \in A_P, x \in X)$. We denote by G the functor from the category A_P -*fmodules* to \mathcal{C} given by $G(X) =: \text{Coker}(\psi_X)$ where $\psi_X \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P \otimes_k A_P \otimes_k X, P \otimes_k X)$ is given by

$$\psi_X := \alpha_P \otimes \text{Id}_X - \text{Id}_P \otimes \alpha_X : P \otimes_k A_P \otimes_k X \rightarrow P \otimes_k X$$

I'll leave for you to construct isomorphisms $F \circ G \rightarrow \text{Id}_{A_P\text{-fmodules}}$ and $G \circ F \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ \square