## ANOTHER<sup>\*</sup> CORRIGENDUM TO "UTILITY THEORY WITHOUT THE COMPLETENESS AXIOM"

R. J. AUMANN

Department of Mathematics and Federmann Center for the Study of Rationality, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

LET  $\succeq$  be a transitive partial preference-or-indifference order on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is *additive homogeneous* (if  $x \succeq y$ , then  $x + z \succeq y + z$  and  $\alpha x \succeq \alpha y$  for all z and all positive  $\alpha$ ) and *archimedean* (if  $x \succ \alpha y$  for all positive  $\alpha$ , then  $y \not\succeq 0$ ). A *utility* for  $\succeq$  is a linear function u on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that u(x) > u(y) when  $x \succ y$  and u(x) = u(y) when  $x \sim y$ . The set of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x \succ 0$  is a convex cone T whose dual<sup>1</sup>  $T^*$  is the set of all utilities for  $\succeq$ . Aumann (1962, Section 7) asserts that if the dual  $T^{**}$  of  $T^*$  coincides with T, then "we can recover the order from the set of all utilities." That is incorrect; we can indeed recover the strict preferences, but not the indifferences. For example, on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we may define two orders, one by  $x \succeq y$  iff  $x_1 > y_1$ , another by  $x \succeq y$  iff  $x_1 \ge y_1$ . In both cases, T is the open right halfplane, and  $T^{**} = T$ ; but the orders are different: Two points on the same vertical line are incomparable in the first, indifferent in the second.

We are grateful to Pierre Gazzano for bringing this error to our attention.

## REFERENCES

AUMANN, R. J. (1962): "Utility Theory Without the Completeness Axiom," *Econometrica*, 30, 445–462. (1964): "Utility Theory Without the Completeness Axiom: A Correction," *Econometrica*, 32, 210–212.

Co-editor Joel Sobel handled this manuscript.

Manuscript received 26 March, 2019; final version accepted 1 April, 2019.

R. J. Aumann: raumann@math.huji.ac.il

<sup>\*</sup>See Aumann (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The set of all u in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with ux > 0 for all x in T.